

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/806,287 03/28/2001		Young-Ro Byun	55761	6676		
21874	7590 03/12/2003		•			
	& ANGELL, LLP	EXAMINER				
P.O. BOX 91 BOSTON, M			WARE, TODD			
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1615			
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/12/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						<u> </u>
	•		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/806,2	37	BYUN ET AL.		
•		Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit	
_			Todd D W		1615	
Pari		The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the	e cover sheet with th	ne correspondence ad	dress
- - - -	A SH THE Exte after If the If NO Any earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. speriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ev y within the stat will apply and w , cause the app	ent, however, may a reply b utory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS f lication to become ABAND	e timely filed  days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. mmunication.
Stat				0000		
	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 L</u>				
	a)  X	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th			procesution as to th	o morite ie
	3)□	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under				e mems is
•		ion of Claims				
4	,—	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11</u> is/are pending in the appl				
_		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn trom co	nsideration.		
		Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	_	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11</u> is/are rejected.				
	7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	14: ·			
	•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election r	equirement.		
		The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
	•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		objected to by the E	xaminer.	
	-,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11	1) 🗀 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on				er.
	•	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep				
12	2) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Prio	rity ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13	3)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
	a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
		1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have bee	n received.		-
		2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have bee	n received in Applic	cation No	
	* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bui See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14		Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		•		application).
	а	)  The translation of the foreign language pro	visional ap	plication has been	received.	•
		Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority u	nuer 35 U.S.C. 99	izu aliu/or izi.	
	hmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(	s).
2) 🔲	Notic	the of References Cited (FTO-032)  the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		nal Patent Application (PT	

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt of request for extension of time (granted) and amendment both filed 12-9-02 is acknowledged. Claims 1, 4, and 8 have been amended, claims 9-10 have been canceled and new claim 11 has been added as requested. Claims 1-8 and 11 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gr f et al (5,543,158; h reafter '158) in vi w of Rodg rs t al (5,534,261; her aft r '261).

Application/Control Number: 09/806,287 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

4. '158 teaches controlled release microsphere in which biodegradable polymer and the instant amphiphilic block copolymer are mixed and an active agent is incorporated into the microsphere. The ratios of biodegradable polymer/block copolymer and active agent/microsphere are within the instant ratios. These microspheres are not rapidly cleared from the blood stream by the macrophages of the reticuloendothelial system. '158 also teaches that biologically active molecules are contemplated to be delivered but does not teach that the active agent is retinoic acid.

- 5. '261 is relied upon for teaching controlled release microspheres made of polymers for controlled delivery of retinoids.
- 6. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a retinoid into the formulation of '158 with the motivation of providing a delivery formulation for a retinoid that is not rapidly cleared from the blood stream by the macrophages of the reticuloendothelial system.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 12-9-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that neither '158 nor '261 teach combination or mixture of the amphiphilic block copolymer with a biodegradable polymer. This argument is not found persuasive. '158 teaches mixing PLGA or PLA with the PLGA-PEG block polymer at column 13, lines 58-64. This mixing alters the half-life of microspheres made up of only the block polymer.

Art Unit: 1615

- 8. Claims 1-8 and 11 are r j cted under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cha et al (WO 97/15287; hereafter '287) in combination with Rodgers et al (5,534,261; hereafter '261) and further in combination with Lippman t al (1992).
- 9. '287 teaches biodegradable polymeric microspheres that provide controlled release of an active agent. These microspheres comprise the instant amphiphilic block copolymer mixed with interferon- $\alpha$ . '287 does not teach delivery of retinoic acid with the instant microspheres.
- 10. '261 is relied upon for all that it teaches as stated previously.
- 11. Lippman is relied upon for teaching co-administration of interferon- $\alpha$  and 13-cisretinoic acid for treatment of squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix.
- 12. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to administer retinoic acid in combination with the interferon- $\alpha$  of '287 with the motivation of providing a controlled release formulation for treating squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix.

## Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 12-9-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that neither '287, '261 or Lippman et al teach combination or mixture of the amphiphilic block copolymer with a biodegradable polymer. This argument is not found persuasive. '287 teaches a peptide or protein drug (interferon- $\alpha$ ) intimately contained in a PLGA-PEG block polymer matrix. The peptide or protein drug (interferon- $\alpha$ ) meets the requirement of the biodegradable polymer limitation. Motivation

Art Unit: 1615

for administration of a retinoid is provided by the combination of '261 and Lippman et al to provide a controlled release formulation for treating squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix.

- 14. Claims 1-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cha et al (5,665,428; hereafter '428) in combination with Rodgers et al (5,534,261; hereafter '261) and further in combination with Lippman et al (1992).
- 15. '428 teaches biodegradable polymeric microspheres that provide controlled release of an active agent. These microspheres comprise the instant amphoteric block copolymer mixed with interferon. '428 does not teach delivery of retinoic acid with the instant microspheres.
- 16. '261 and Lippman are relied upon for all that they teach as stated previously.
- 17. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to administer retinoic acid in combination with the interferon- $\alpha$  of '428 with the motivation of providing a controlled release formulation for treating squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix.

# Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments filed 12-9-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that neither '428, '261 or Lippman et al teach combination or mixture of the amphiphilic block copolymer with a biodegradable polymer. This argument is not found persuasive. '428 teaches a peptide or protein drug (interferon)

Art Unit: 1615

intimately contained in a PLGA-PEG block polymer matrix. The peptide or protein drug (interferon) meets the requirement of the biodegradable polymer limitation. Motivation for administration of a retinoid is provided by the combination of '261 and Lippman et al to provide a controlled release formulation for treating squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix.

#### Conclusion

19. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd D Ware whose telephone number is (703) 305-1700. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/806,287

**Art Unit: 1615** 

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

tw March 8, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600